2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assen	nbly Su	ıbstitute A	mendn	ent (ASA-A	AB467)					
Receiv	red:	10/30/2013				Received By:	rnelson			
Wante	d: '	Today				Same as LRB:				
For:	•	Jim Ott (60	8) 266-04	86		By/Representing:	Jessica Karl-			
May C	ontact:					Drafter:	rnelson			
Subject: Drunk Driving - other						Addl. Drafters:				
						Extra Copies:				
Reques	t via ema ster's ema n copy (C	ail:	_	ttJ@legis.wis .karls@legis.v	_					
Pre To	pic:							•		
No spe	ecific pre	topic given								
Topic:										
See att	ached									
Instru	ctions:							We have be a dealer.		
See att	ached									
Drafti	ng Histo	ory:						<u> </u>		
Vers.	Drafted	Rev	viewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required		
/?	rnelson 10/30/2					-				
/1			lvin 30/2013	jmurphy 10/30/2013		lparisi 10/30/2013	lparisi 10/30/2013	State S&L Crime		
FE Ser	nt For:									

2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Asser	nbly Subs	titute Amendi	ment (ASA	-AB467)			
Receiv	red: 10/3	30/2013		I	Received By:	rnelson	
Wante	d: Tod	day			Same as LRB:		
For: Jim Ott (608) 266-0486				I	By/Representing: Jessica Karl-		
May Contact:					Orafter:	rnelson	
Subjec	et: Dr u	ınk Driving - oth	ier	I	Addl. Drafters:		
				I	Extra Copies:		
Submit via email: Requester's email: Carbon copy (CC) to: YES Rep.OttJ@legis.wiscon jessica.karls@legis.wiscon					gov 1 EV	~1	
Pre To	pic:						
No spe	ecific pre top	nic given					
Topic:				***************************************			
See att	ached						
Instru	ctions:						
See att	ached						
Drafti	ng History:						**************************************
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required
/?	rnelson	/1 sac 10/30/2013	Ju 30				

<END>

FE Sent For:

Research (608-266-0341)

Library (608-266-7040)

Legal (608-266-3561)

LRB

- who revoked + wait	
- license Jin 10/30pm Substitute LC Jessie Karls Ruplinger	office plus
LC Jessie Karls Rupslinger 6-2230 - am our on gets out - am our our au con Shall nut drue au con shall nut drue au intolock order ulo intolock until intolock ergin	If don't do it. Creating a penalty enhancer
- gæs inho effect, bot mer not bur to install intrlock with ver. order over	who operates without III
- it dru : 2 purtter Viol. et ct. order	
exers m	for

Nelson, Robert

From:

Karls-Ruplinger, Jessica

Sent:

Tuesday, October 29, 2013 4:50 PM

To:

Nelson, Robert

Subject:

FW: Amendment Language

Bob,

Here's some language for a substitute amendment to AB 467. I will call you on Wednesday morning to discuss this.

Jessica

Jessica Karls-Ruplinger
Senior Staff Attorney
Wisconsin Legislative Council
(608) 266-2230
Jessica.Karls@legis.wisconsin.gov

From: Rep.OttJ

Sent: Tuesday, October 29, 2013 4:40 PM

To: Karls-Ruplinger, Jessica

Cc: Augustyn, Ryan; Mueller, Virginia **Subject:** Amendment Language

Anyone convicted of an operating while intoxicated offense and subject to an ignition interlock order at the time of license reinstatement shall not drive any vehicle not equipped with an approved IID from the time of conviction until the interlock order expires. Those who violate their revocation order and drive any vehicle not equipped with an approved IID shall be subject to the same penalties prescribed in sec.as those who violate the IID installment order.



State of Misconsin



ASA E

2013 ASSEMBLY BILL 467

October 28, 2013 - Introduced by Representatives J. Ott, Richards, Thiesfeldt, Kolste, Nass, Brooks, Berceau, Zepnick and Kerkman, cosponsored by Senators Cowles, L. Taylor and Lehman. Referred to Committee on Judiciary.

AN ACT to amend \$43.301 (2m), 347.413 (1) and 347.50 (1t) of the statutes;

relating to: court orders regarding the installation of an ignition interlock

device.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, a court is required to order that a person's motor vehicle operating privilege be restricted to operating vehicles that are equipped with an ignition interlock device if a person commits a second or subsequent offense related to operating a vehicle while intoxicated or a first offense while his or her alcohol concentration is 0.15 or greater, refuses to take a test for intoxication, or injures or kills a person while operating a vehicle while intoxicated. Under current law, the operating privilege restriction begins on the date the Department of Transportation issues an operator's license and stays in place for not less than one year nor more than the maximum operating privilege revocation period permitted for the refusal or violation. A person who violates the operating privilege restriction by failing to install, removing, disconnecting, or otherwise tampering with the ignition interlock device may be fined not less than \$150 nor more than \$600, imprisoned for not more than six months, or both, for the first offense, and may be fined not less than \$300 nor more than \$1,000, or imprisoned for not more than six months, or both, for a second or subsequent offense.

Currently, the court may order that the ignition interlock device be installed immediately upon issuing the order that the person's operating privilege be restricted to operating a vehicle with an ignition interlock device installed.

I continue whose new paragraph

insert

1

2

3

ASSEMBLY BILL 467

toler-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Under this bill, when a court orders that the person's operating privilege be restricted to operating a vehicle with an ignition interlock device, the court must order that the device be installed within 3 working days of the order restricting the person's operating privilege. Also under this bill, the operating privilege restriction takes effect immediately upon the issuing of the order restricting the person's operating privilege. The operating privilege restriction remains in place for not less than one year after DOT issues an operator's license operating privilege revocation period after DOT issues an operator's license operating privilege restriction is subject to the same penalty provided for failing to install, removing, disconnecting, or otherwise tampering with the ignition interlock device.

Because this bill creates a new crime or revises a penalty for an existing crime, the Joint Review Committee on Criminal Penalties may be requested to prepare a report concerning the proposed penalty and the costs or savings that are likely to result if the bill is enacted.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. 343.301 (2m) of the statutes is amended to read:

beginning on the date the order under sub. (1g) is issued and extending for a period of not less than one year after the date the department issues any license granted under this chapter nor more than the maximum operating privilege revocation period permitted for the refusal or violation, beginning on the date the department issues any license granted under this chapter, after the date the department issues any license granted under this chapter except that if the maximum operating privilege revocation period is less than one year, the court shall restrict the operating privilege under sub. (1g) for a period of one year after the date the department issues any license granted under this chapter. The court may shall order the installation of that an ignition interlock device under sub. (1g) immediately upon be installed within 3 working days after issuing an order under sub. (1g).

ASSEMBLY BILL 467

SECTION 2. 347.413 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

347.413 (1) No person may remove, disconnect, tamper with, or otherwise circumvent the operation of an ignition interlock device installed in response to the court order under s. 346.65 (6), 1999 stats., or s. 343.301 (1), 2007 stats., or s. 343.301 (1g), or fail to have the ignition interlock device installed as ordered by the court, or violate a court order under s. 343.301 (1g) restricting the person's operating privilege. This subsection does not apply to the removal of an ignition interlock device upon the expiration of the order requiring the motor vehicle to be so equipped or to necessary repairs to a malfunctioning ignition interlock device by a person authorized by the department.

SECTION 3. 347.50 (1t) of the statutes is amended to read:

347.50 (1t) In addition to the penalty under sub. (1s), if a person who is subject to an order under s. 343.301 violates s. 347.413, the court shall extend the order restricting the person's operating privilege under s. 343.301 (1g) or (2m) for 6 months for each violation.

SECTION 4. Initial applicability.

(1) This act first applies to a court order issued on the effective date of this subsection.

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2013-2014 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

ins ANL:
Under this bill, the person whose operating privilege is restricted to operating
a motor vehicle equipped with an ignition interlock device may not drive any motor
vehicle that is not equipped with an approved ignition interlock device from the time
that the order restricting his or her operating privilege is entered until that order
expires.
Under current law,
ins 2–13:
SECTION 1. 343.301 (2m) of the statutes is renumbered 343.301 (2m) (a).
SECTION 2. 343.301 (2m) (b) of the statutes is created to read:
343.301 (2m) (b) A person to whom an order under sub. (1g) applies may not
drive any motor vehicle that is not equipped with an approved ignition interlock
device from the time that the order is entered under sub. (1g) until that order expires.
Any person who violates this paragraph is subject to the penalties under s. 347.413
(1).